

# PINK1/Parkin mitophagy and neurodegeneration—what do we really know *in vivo*?

Alexander J Whitworth<sup>1</sup> and Leo J Pallanck<sup>2</sup>



Mitochondria are essential organelles that provide cellular energy and buffer cytoplasmic calcium. At the same time they produce damaging reactive oxygen species and sequester pro-apoptotic factors. Hence, eukaryotes have evolved exquisite homeostatic processes that maintain mitochondrial integrity, or ultimately remove damaged organelles. This subject has garnered intense interest recently following the discovery that two Parkinson's disease genes, *PINK1* and *parkin*, regulate mitochondrial degradation (mitophagy). The molecular details of PINK1/Parkin-induced mitophagy are emerging but much of our insight derives from work using cultured cells and potent mitochondrial toxins, raising questions about the physiological significance of these findings. Here we review the evidence supporting PINK1/Parkin mitophagy *in vivo* and its causative role in neurodegeneration, and outline outstanding questions for future investigations.

## Addresses

<sup>1</sup> MRC Mitochondrial Biology Unit, Cambridge Biomedical Campus, Hills Road, Cambridge, United Kingdom

<sup>2</sup> Department of Genome Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, United States

Corresponding authors: Whitworth, Alexander J ([a.whitworth@mrc-mbu.cam.ac](mailto:a.whitworth@mrc-mbu.cam.ac)), Pallanck, Leo J ([pallanck@uw.edu](mailto:pallanck@uw.edu))

**Current Opinion in Genetics & Development** 2017, **44**:47–53

This review comes from a themed issue on **Molecular and genetic bases of disease**

Edited by **Nancy M Bonini, Edward B Lee, Wilma Wasco and Allen Roses**

For a complete overview see the [Issue](#) and the [Editorial](#)

Available online 16th February 2017

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gde.2017.01.016>

0959-437X/© 2017 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

## The PINK1–Parkin pathway

Mitochondria are a nexus of life and death of eukaryotic cells, performing a number of critical functions, including generating cellular energy, buffering cytosolic calcium flux, promoting lipid metabolism, and sequestering the cell death machinery. It has long been thought that malfunction of the mechanisms that regulate mitochondrial quality control (mitoQC) are a major driving force of normal ageing [1]. Moreover, failure of mitoQC mechanisms, leading to

elevated oxidative stress, is strongly linked to age-related conditions such as neurodegeneration [2,3].

Most of the cellular chemical energy, in the form of adenosine triphosphate (ATP), is produced in the mitochondrial matrix via the process of oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS). This process relies on electron flow via the electron transport chain components in the inner mitochondrial membrane, culminating in the reduction of oxygen in the matrix and the generation of a membrane potential across the inner membrane ( $\Delta\Psi_m$ ). However, a deleterious side-product of this process is the generation of highly reactive free radicals, termed reactive oxygen species (ROS), that have the potential to damage macromolecules including proteins, lipids and nucleic acids. To combat this, there are multiple intervention points to protect the overall integrity of the mitochondrial network. First, mitochondria contain an abundance of anti-oxidants such as superoxide dismutase and glutathione to prevent ROS-induced damage. Second, there are a broad collection of cellular factors that repair or replace damaged mitochondrial components, including mitochondrial proteases, mitochondrial chaperones, DNA repair enzymes and the ubiquitin–proteasome system. Finally, when mitochondrial damage becomes too extensive the entire mitochondrion can be selectively degraded in the lysosome through a process referred to as mitophagy.

Mitophagy was little studied before 2008, when seminal work in the laboratory of Richard Youle showed that two proteins linked to Parkinson's disease (PD), Parkin, a cytosolic ubiquitin ligase, and PINK, a mitochondrially targeted kinase, play key roles in this process. The current prevailing model posits that under basal conditions, 'healthy' mitochondria, that is those with a high  $\Delta\Psi_m$ , import and degrade PINK1 [4,5]. This process serves to constitutively repress a key degradation signal. Upon mitochondrial damage, typically modelled by the dissipation of  $\Delta\Psi_m$  with uncoupling agents or mitochondrial poisons, PINK1 import is blocked and instead accumulates on the outer mitochondrial membrane (OMM). PINK1 then phosphorylates ubiquitin (likely residual ubiquitin-modified OMM proteins) at Ser65 [6,7,8]. This stimulates the recruitment of cytosolic Parkin to the mitochondrial surface [9] via the direct binding of Parkin to phospho-ubiquitin chains. Parkin is then also phosphorylated by PINK1 at an equivalent Ser65 residue within its N-terminal ubiquitin-like domain [10]. Both events stimulate the ubiquitin-ligase activity of Parkin allowing it to ubiquitinate a host of

OMM targets [11,12]. The additional supply of local ubiquitin provides further substrate for PINK1, promoting additional Parkin recruitment in a feed-forward mechanism [12]. The high density of ubiquitinated OMM proteins leads ultimately to the recruitment of ubiquitin adaptor proteins, which in turn promote engulfment of the depolarized mitochondria by autophagosomes [13,14].

The mechanism by which PINK1 and Parkin promote mitophagy, as described above, is attractive for several reasons; first, this model offers an explanation for the longstanding evidence that mitochondrial defects are central to the pathogenicity of PD, including the observations that mitochondrial toxins cause PD in humans and animal models, that PD patients have systemic mitochondrial complex I deficits, and that DA neurons in elderly individuals accumulate high levels of mtDNA mutations relative to other neuronal subtypes [15–19]. Second, these findings would explain the protective effects of PINK1 and Parkin overexpression from exposure to mitochondrial toxins [20–22]. Third, the unique physiological characteristics of substantia nigra neurons place an extreme demand on mitochondrial function to meet their high energy demand and calcium buffering requirements, which may explain the selective vulnerability of these neurons to loss of mitoQC processes [23].

While there is now wide acceptance for the role of PINK1 and Parkin in mitophagy, and the mechanisms by which these factors act in this process, it is also important to recognize the limitations of the work that support this model. In particular, much of the work that supports our current understanding of PINK1 and Parkin derives from the use of high concentrations of protonophores such as CCCP/FCCP to dissipate the mitochondrial membrane potential. Of note, these uncoupling agents have the potential to depolarise other cellular compartments such as the lysosome, and are frequently used at concentrations an order of magnitude greater than that needed to depolarise mitochondria. Such concerns were substantiated with evidence that this effect may in fact be caused by the non-specific acidification of the cytosol [24], underscoring the need for more precise targeting of mitochondria to study the cell biology. Another concern with much of the work that supports our understanding of the role of PINK1 and Parkin in mitophagy is that it derives from the use of cultured cells that overexpress these factors. While it is conceivable that these extreme measures simply amplify or accelerate normal physiological processes that would be otherwise difficult to detect, these approaches also make it unclear how such findings translate to physiological conditions. In the remainder of this article, we briefly summarize some of the findings that challenge the roles of PINK1 and Parkin in mitophagy, and the evidence supporting their

role in this process using more physiologically relevant model systems.

### PINK1/Parkin function in neurons

One of the early challenges to the model that PINK1 and Parkin promote mitophagy is that much of the initial data in support of this model derived from cancerous cell lines. Cancer cells typically rely on glycolysis for energy production (the Warburg effect) and could potentially tolerate substantial loss of mitochondria, whereas neurons rely heavily on OXPHOS for energy production. Indeed, cells forced to rely on OXPHOS greatly inhibits PINK1/Parkin mitophagy upon loss of  $\Delta\Psi_m$  [25]. Furthermore, early studies investigating PINK1/Parkin mitophagy in primary neurons failed to detect Parkin translocation and/or mitochondrial degradation upon mitochondrial depolarization, and mutations in *parkin* did not influence the pathogenicity of mitochondrial disruption in mice, which further cast doubt on the physiological relevance of mechanistic models derived from studies using cell lines [26,27]. However, subsequent studies of primary neurons have reported Parkin translocation to depolarized mitochondria, although this process appeared to be restricted to somatodendritic regions and displayed delayed kinetics relative to non-neuronal cells [28\*]. Another study of hippocampal neurons reported rapid that Parkin and autophagosome recruitment could occur in distal axons [29\*\*]. Notably, in contrast to using pharmacological agents, Ashrafi *et al.* induced mitochondrial damage via the mtKillerRed-generated ROS. Importantly, this approach offers an alternative to chemical toxicification which is more amenable to *in vivo* studies. It will be interesting to learn whether analysing PINK1/Parkin mitophagy using this approach in animals will recapitulate aspects of the current model. In summary, current evidence from cultured neurons does provide support for PINK1/Parkin mitophagy, nevertheless the still rely on Parkin overexpression.

### Triggers for PINK1/Parkin function

To address concerns with off-target effects of the depolarizing agents used in early studies of PINK1 and Parkin, more recent studies have used mitochondria-specific poisons such as oligomycin and antimycin A [12,30], and have observed comparable effects to CCCP/FCCP uncoupling. A study using live imaging of cultured cells potentially offers additional support for the role of PINK1 and Parkin in the degradation of depolarized mitochondria by showing that mitochondrial fission products with decreased membrane potential have a higher probability of undergoing autophagy [31]. However, whether this mitophagy is mediated by PINK1 and Parkin has not been definitively established. Moreover, the mitochondria targeted for degradation in this live cell imaging study display only a relatively small decrease in membrane potential, far below that seen in experiments involving cells treated with depolarizing agents, further

questioning the role of PINK1 and Parkin in this form of mitophagy. Finally, Ca<sup>2+</sup>-pacemaking has been shown to trigger more substantial mitochondrial depolarization [32], yet there is no evidence that this mitochondrial depolarization triggers PINK1–Parkin mediated mitophagy. Finally, recent work has shown that unfolded matrix proteins trigger PINK1–Parkin-mediated mitophagy without triggering mitochondrial depolarization [33,34]. Together, these studies pose serious challenges to the model that mitochondrial depolarization is the true physiological stimulus for PINK1–Parkin mediated mitophagy.

### PINK1/Parkin in animal models: of mice and flies

PINK1 and Parkin have also been extensively studied using *in vivo* model systems. In particular, pioneering studies in the fruit fly *Drosophila* were the first to demonstrate a role for Parkin in mitochondrial maintenance [35], and to link PINK1 and Parkin in a common biological pathway [36,37]. *PINK1/parkin* deficiency in *Drosophila* triggers the accumulation of enlarged, damaged mitochondria in sperm, flight muscle and dopaminergic neurons, suggesting that this pathway influences mitochondrial integrity in energetically demanding tissues [35–37]. To directly test the hypothesis that PINK1 and Parkin promote mitophagy *in vivo* under physiological conditions, Vincow *et al.* used an innovative proteomic approach employing quantitative mass spectrometry to monitor mitochondrial protein turnover in *Drosophila* heads [38<sup>••</sup>], which has provided some compelling evidence. Working on the premise that under physiologically constant conditions mitochondrial components would be degraded and replaced at a constant rate to maintain steady-state levels, the accumulation of heavy isotope-labelled mitochondrial proteins was monitored in *Pink1* and *parkin* mutants compared to wild-type flies. These experiments revealed that the half-life of many mitochondrial proteins was significantly increased in *parkin* mutants in a pattern that closely resembled that of autophagy-defective *Atg7* mutants, thus supporting a role for Parkin in mitophagy.

While studies in *Drosophila* offer potential support for a role of PINK1 and Parkin in mitophagy, they also leave several questions unanswered, and raise new questions. In particular, flight muscle disruption is apparent in young *Pink1* and *parkin* mutants, preceding any influence of age-related mitochondrial damage, thus raising the possibility that the role of PINK1 and Parkin in flight muscle may not involve mitophagy. Similarly, mitochondrial defects in the germline of *Pink1* and *parkin* mutants also occur during the development of these tissues and seem more likely to result from altered mitochondrial fission/fusion, rather than a defect in mitophagy [39,40], again challenging the concept that these defects involve mitophagy. Moreover, it is also hard to reconcile the genetic

rescue of *Pink1* mutants by *parkin* overexpression [36,37] with the apparent absolute requirement for PINK1 to promote mitophagy in cultured vertebrate cells [30]. Additionally, although *parkin* mutants showed a dramatic reduction in mitochondrial protein turnover, the influence of *Pink1* on mitochondrial turnover was restricted primarily to membrane-spanning respiratory chain components. *parkin* mutants also had a disproportionately large effect on membrane-spanning respiratory chain components, suggesting a novel mitochondrial protein-selective feature of PINK1 and Parkin on mitochondrial protein degradation.

PINK1 and Parkin have also been studied extensively in mice. Perhaps the most obvious disconnect is the apparent lack of gross physiological, neurological or behavioral phenotypes in *PINK1/parkin* knockout mice, which is not easy to reconcile with the notion that PINK1/Parkin mitophagy is an important cell survival mechanism. However, two recent studies have reported that post-natal conditional *parkin* knockout does result in loss of nigral dopaminergic neurons [41,42], suggesting a compensatory mechanism occurs during development in germline knockouts. Although the nature of this compensatory mechanism is currently unclear, Parkin-independent mechanisms of mitophagy have been described and it is possible that the induction of one or more of these mechanisms may compensate for loss of *parkin* [43–45]. Nevertheless, this finding clearly indicates a discordance between mouse and man since *PINK1* or *parkin* loss almost invariably leads to a profound neurodegeneration in humans that can be evident as early as 3 years of age.

Although overt dopaminergic neuron degeneration is not observed upon germline knockout of mouse homologs of *PINK1*, *parkin* and other genes associated with recessive forms of PD, a recent study of a mouse strain that expresses a proof-reading defective version of the mtDNA polymerase (POLG), known as the ‘mutator’ mouse [46,47], provides evidence that PINK1 and Parkin play a role in mitochondrial quality control in this organism. Although mutator mice and *parkin* mutants display no loss of dopaminergic neurons, mice that are homozygous for the *parkin* knockout in the mutator background revealed a significant degeneration of dopaminergic neurons and motor deficits [48<sup>••</sup>]. Moreover, the sensitivity to this double hit showed a relative selectivity for nigral dopaminergic neurons, a cardinal feature of PD. Surprisingly however, there was no increase in the mtDNA mutation frequency in the double mutants, suggesting that the influence of *parkin* deletion in the mutator background was not a consequence of a defect in the selective elimination of mutation bearing mitochondria. While the influence of Parkin on the mutator mouse phenotype requires further examination, these findings are at least consistent with a biological role of Parkin in mitochondrial quality control.

## Future directions—the when, how and where of mitophagy

### What is the physiological trigger?

Although there has been incredible progress in our understanding of the biological roles of PINK1 and Parkin and mitophagy over the past 8 years following the seminal work linking these factors to mitophagy, many important questions remain about this pathway. For example, it remains unclear whether mitochondrial depolarization is the true physiological trigger of PINK1–Parkin pathway induction. The recent finding that unfolded mitochondrial matrix proteins trigger pathway induction independent of a reduction in membrane potential raises the possibility that unfolded proteins are the true physiological trigger of pathway induction [33,34]. However, it is far from clear how unfolded matrix proteins may trigger mitophagy. Moreover, substantial recent work indicates that unfolded mitochondrial matrix proteins can trigger a general cellular response to alleviate this stress [49], so the finding that the PINK1–Parkin pathway also responds to unfolded protein stress raises the question of how these two pathways are coordinated to influence the decision of whether to repair, or degrade the mitochondria that harbour unfolded proteins.

### What is the precise mechanism of PINK1/Parkin mitoQC?

Another important unanswered question involves the precise role of PINK1 and Parkin in mitochondrial quality control. As mentioned above, *parkin* mutations influence the phenotype of mutator mice, but this influence appears to be independent of a role of Parkin in degrading mutation-bearing mitochondria. Moreover, proteomic studies in flies raise the possibility of a mitochondrial-protein selective feature of PINK1 and Parkin. One attractive model that offers a potential explanation for these findings derives from recent work documenting the existence of a piecemeal process of mitochondria quality control—the formation of cargo-selective mitochondria derived vesicles (MDVs) [50]. The function of MDVs appear diverse but evidence strongly implicates a role in the selective transport of oxidized mitochondrial components for degradation in lysosomes [51–53]. Importantly, PINK1 and Parkin have been linked to the formation and trafficking of MDVs [54,55], as well as a third PD factor, Vps35 [55,56]. Although MDVs have not been analysed directly *in vivo*, and may prove extremely challenging, this model would explain the selective degradation of respiratory proteins in *Drosophila parkin* and *Pink1* mutants. Moreover, such a vesicular pathway would also potentially explain the finding that removal of *parkin* influences the phenotypes of mutator mice without affecting the frequency of mtDNA mutations. Given that the mitochondrial genome encodes membrane spanning components of the respiratory chain, the presence of Parkin may mitigate the effects of mtDNA mutations by selectively promoting the degradation of the

mutationally altered products of these mutations through this vesicular pathway. Additional evidence in support of this pathway is provided by the finding that genetic studies in *Drosophila* revealed a striking interaction between *vps35* and *parkin* [57], providing strong support for a common pathway. Important future challenges involve the validation of this vesicular pathway in an *in vivo* context, and the elucidation of the regulatory mechanisms that influence the decision to activate wholesale mitochondrial degradation, or piecemeal degradation through this vesicular pathway.

### What are the mechanisms of mitochondrial component turnover?

Lastly, while the proteomic assay of mitochondria protein turnover is useful for monitoring mitochondrial protein degradation, this approach is agnostic as to the precise mechanism of mitochondrial protein degradation. For many, seeing is believing, and proteomic and other biochemical methods do not allow fine spatial and temporal resolution to address where and when this turnover is occurring. Hence, the recent reports of two transgenic mouse models to monitor mitophagy *in vivo* are timely [58,59]. One uses a matrix-targeted pH-sensitive variant of GFP (mtKeima) while the other uses a tandem GFP-RFP fusion targeted to the OMM (called ‘mito-QC’). Both methods exploit the pH difference between relatively neutral matrix or cytosol and the acidic lysosomal lumen to induce a change in fluorescence. While these valuable tools have not yet been used in the context of PINK1/Parkin mitophagy, they have revealed that basal mitophagy is surprisingly abundant but varies widely between and even within a tissue or organ. Notably, the mtKeima reporter showed elevated mitophagy by hypoxia, mtDNA mutations and cancerous cells, but was reduced with age, a high-fat diet and expression of Huntingtin. While, there are still questions to address concerning how well these reporters mirror mitochondrial components, for example whether the reporter have a similar half-life in lysosomes compared to mitochondrial proteins and whether a proportion may be mis-localized and reporting autophagy of other compartments, the stage is set to now use these reporters to explore the *in vivo* nature of PINK1/Parkin mitophagy. It will be particularly interesting to investigate whether certain brain regions, in particular the basal ganglia, have high levels of mitophagy which require PINK1/Parkin.

## Concluding remarks

In conclusion, the use of cell culture models and chemical toxication of mitochondria has allowed the elucidation of the molecular mechanism by which PINK1 and Parkin signal the degradation of mitochondrial components, although initial attempts to translate these findings to neurons and animal models was controversial. However, there is now mounting evidence *in vivo* and importantly under physiological conditions supporting a



PINK1/Parkin-mediated mitoQC process involving turn-over of mitochondria components. Nevertheless, it is still unclear exactly what are the physiological stimuli that signal the distinction between destruction and repair processes. Ultimately, it also remains to be determined whether stimulating this PINK1/Parkin mitoQC represents a viable therapeutic target. These outstanding points seed the field for vigorous and insightful research to come.

## Funding

AJW acknowledges funding from the MRC (MC-A070-5PSB0) and ERC (StG 309742), and LJP acknowledges funding from the NIH (5R01GM104990).

## Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- of outstanding interest

1. Harman D: **The biologic clock: the mitochondria?** *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1972, **20**:145-147.
  2. Schon EA, Przedborski S: **Mitochondria: the next (neurode) generation.** *Neuron* 2011, **70**:1033-1053.
  3. Wallace DC: **A mitochondrial paradigm of metabolic and degenerative diseases, aging, and cancer: a dawn for evolutionary medicine.** *Annu Rev Genet* 2005, **39**:359-407.
  4. Matsuda N, Sato S, Shiba K, Okatsu K, Saisho K, Gautier CA, Sou YS, Saiki S, Kawajiri S, Sato F *et al.*: **PINK1 stabilized by mitochondrial depolarization recruits Parkin to damaged mitochondria and activates latent Parkin for mitophagy.** *J Cell Biol* 2010, **189**:211-221.
  5. Narendra DP, Jin SM, Tanaka A, Suen DF, Gautier CA, Shen J, Cookson MR, Youle RJ: **PINK1 is selectively stabilized on impaired mitochondria to activate Parkin.** *PLoS Biol* 2010, **8**:e1000298.
  6. Kane LA, Lazarou M, Fogel AI, Li Y, Yamano K, Sarraf SA, Banerjee S, Youle RJ: **PINK1 phosphorylates ubiquitin to activate Parkin E3 ubiquitin ligase activity.** *J Cell Biol* 2014, **205**:143-153.
  7. Kazlauskaitė A, Kondapalli C, Gourlay R, Campbell DG, Ritorto MS, Hofmann K, Alessi DR, Knebel A, Trost M, Muqit MM: **Parkin is activated by PINK1-dependent phosphorylation of ubiquitin at Ser65.** *Biochem J* 2014, **460**:127-139.
  8. Koyano F, Okatsu K, Kosako H, Tamura Y, Go E, Kimura M, Kimura Y, Tsuchiya H, Yoshihara H, Hirokawa T *et al.*: **Ubiquitin is phosphorylated by PINK1 to activate parkin.** *Nature* 2014, **515**:162-166.
- These three publications simultaneously reported the discovery that PINK1 phosphorylates ubiquitin at Ser65 to activate Parkin and promote mitophagy. This was an important detail in the mechanism of how PINK1 signalling initiated mitophagy, but also suggests that this modification may be useful as a biomarker for monitoring mitophagy induction *in vivo*.
9. Narendra D, Tanaka A, Suen DF, Youle RJ: **Parkin is recruited selectively to impaired mitochondria and promotes their autophagy.** *J Cell Biol* 2008, **183**:795-803.
  10. Kondapalli C, Kazlauskaitė A, Zhang N, Woodroof HI, Campbell DG, Gourlay R, Burchell L, Walden H, Macartney TJ, Deak M *et al.*: **PINK1 is activated by mitochondrial membrane potential depolarization and stimulates Parkin E3 ligase activity by phosphorylating Serine 65.** *Open Biol* 2012, **2**:120080.
  11. Chan NC, Salazar AM, Pham AH, Sweredoski MJ, Kolawa NJ, Graham RL, Hess S, Chan DC: **Broad activation of the ubiquitin-proteasome system by Parkin is critical for mitophagy.** *Hum Mol Genet* 2011, **20**:1726-1737.
  12. Ordureau A, Sarraf SA, Duda DM, Heo JM, Jedrychowski MP, Sviderskiy VO, Olszewski JL, Koerber JT, Xie T, Beausoleil SA *et al.*: **Quantitative proteomics reveal a feedforward mechanism for mitochondrial PARKIN translocation and ubiquitin chain synthesis.** *Mol Cell* 2014, **56**:360-375.
  13. Pickrell AM, Youle RJ: **The roles of PINK1, parkin, and mitochondrial fidelity in Parkinson's disease.** *Neuron* 2015, **85**:257-273.
  14. Yamano K, Matsuda N, Tanaka K: **The ubiquitin signal and autophagy: an orchestrated dance leading to mitochondrial degradation.** *EMBO Rep* 2016, **17**:300-316.
  15. Schapira AH, Cooper JM, Dexter D, Jenner P, Clark JB, Marsden CD: **Mitochondrial complex I deficiency in Parkinson's disease.** *Lancet* 1989, **1**:1269.
  16. Exner N, Lutz AK, Haass C, Winklhofer KF: **Mitochondrial dysfunction in Parkinson's disease: molecular mechanisms and pathophysiological consequences.** *EMBO J* 2012, **31**:3038-3062.
  17. Bender A, Krishnan KJ, Morris CM, Taylor GA, Reeve AK, Perry RH, Jaros E, Hersheson JS, Betts J, Klopstock T *et al.*: **High levels of mitochondrial DNA deletions in substantia nigra neurons in aging and Parkinson disease.** *Nat Genet* 2006, **38**:515-517.
  18. Kraytsberg Y, Kudryavtseva E, McKee AC, Geula C, Kowall NW, Khrapko K: **Mitochondrial DNA deletions are abundant and cause functional impairment in aged human substantia nigra neurons.** *Nat Genet* 2006, **38**:518-520.
  19. Dias V, Junn E, Mouradian MM: **The role of oxidative stress in Parkinson's disease.** *J Parkinsons Dis* 2013, **3**:461-491.
  20. Haque ME, Thomas KJ, D'Souza C, Callaghan S, Kitada T, Slack RS, Fraser P, Cookson MR, Tandon A, Park DS: **Cytoplasmic Pink1 activity protects neurons from dopaminergic neurotoxin MPTP.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2008, **105**:1716-1721.
  21. Paterna JC, Leng A, Weber E, Feldon J, Bueler H: **DJ-1 and Parkin modulate dopamine-dependent behavior and inhibit MPTP-induced nigral dopamine neuron loss in mice.** *Mol Ther* 2007, **15**:698-704.
  22. Rosen KM, Veereshwarayya V, Moussa CE, Fu Q, Goldberg MS, Schlossmacher MG, Shen J, Querfurth HW: **Parkin protects against mitochondrial toxins and beta-amyloid accumulation in skeletal muscle cells.** *J Biol Chem* 2006, **281**:12809-12816.
  23. Sulzer D, Surmeier DJ: **Neuronal vulnerability, pathogenesis, and Parkinson's disease.** *Mov Disord* 2013, **28**:41-50.
  24. Berezhnov AV, Soutar MP, Fedotova EI, Frolova MS, Plun-Favreau H, Zinchenko VP, Abramov AY: **Intracellular pH modulates autophagy and mitophagy.** *J Biol Chem* 2016, **291**:8701-8708.
  25. MacVicar TD, Lane JD: **Impaired OMA1-dependent cleavage of OPA1 and reduced DRP1 fission activity combine to prevent mitophagy in cells that are dependent on oxidative phosphorylation.** *J Cell Sci* 2014, **127**:2313-2325.
  26. Sterky FH, Lee S, Wibom R, Olson L, Larsson NG: **Impaired mitochondrial transport and Parkin-independent degeneration of respiratory chain-deficient dopamine neurons in vivo.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2011, **108**:12937-12942.

27. Van Laar VS, Arnold B, Cassady SJ, Chu CT, Burton EA, Berman SB: **Bioenergetics of neurons inhibit the translocation response of Parkin following rapid mitochondrial depolarization.** *Hum Mol Genet* 2011, **20**:927-940.
28. Cai Q, Zakaria HM, Simone A, Sheng ZH: **Spatial parkin translocation and degradation of damaged mitochondria via mitophagy in live cortical neurons.** *Curr Biol* 2012, **22**:545-552.  
The first observations of Parkin translocation and mitophagy in live neurons in culture, although this appeared to be restricted to soma. Chemical depolarization was used here.
29. Ashrafi G, Schlehe JS, LaVoie MJ, Schwarz TL: **Mitophagy of damaged mitochondria occurs locally in distal neuronal axons and requires PINK1 and Parkin.** *J Cell Biol* 2014, **206**:655-670.  
An independent description of Parkin translocation and mitophagy in cultured neurons, here observed in distal processes. Importantly, the trigger was localised production of ROS induced by mt-KillerRed as an alternative to chemical depolarization.
30. Lazarou M, Sliter DA, Kane LA, Sarraf SA, Wang CX, Burman JL, Sideris DP, Fogel AI, Youle RJ: **The ubiquitin kinase PINK1 recruits autophagy receptors to induce mitophagy.** *Nature* 2015, **524**:309-314.
31. Twig G, Elorza A, Molina AJ, Mohamed H, Wikstrom JD, Walzer G, Stiles L, Haigh SE, Katz S, Las G *et al.*: **Fission and selective fusion govern mitochondrial segregation and elimination by autophagy.** *EMBO J* 2008, **27**:433-446.
32. Guzman JN, Sanchez-Padilla J, Wokosin D, Kondapalli J, Ilijic E, Schumacker PT, Surmeier DJ: **Oxidant stress evoked by pacemaking in dopaminergic neurons is attenuated by DJ-1.** *Nature* 2010, **468**:696-700.
33. Jin SM, Youle RJ: **The accumulation of misfolded proteins in the mitochondrial matrix is sensed by PINK1 to induce PARK2/Parkin-mediated mitophagy of polarized mitochondria.** *Autophagy* 2013, **9**:1750-1757.
34. Pimenta de Castro I, Costa AC, Lam D, Tufi R, Fedele V, Moiso N, Dinsdale D, Deas E, Loh SH, Martins LM: **Genetic analysis of mitochondrial protein misfolding in *Drosophila melanogaster*.** *Cell Death Differ* 2012, **19**:1308-1316.
35. Greene JC, Whitworth AJ, Kuo I, Andrews LA, Feany MB, Pallanck LJ: **Mitochondrial pathology and apoptotic muscle degeneration in *Drosophila* parkin mutants.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2003, **100**:4078-4083.
36. Clark IE, Dodson MW, Jiang C, Cao JH, Huh JR, Seol JH, Yoo SJ, Hay BA, Guo M: ***Drosophila* pink1 is required for mitochondrial function and interacts genetically with parkin.** *Nature* 2006, **441**:1162-1166.
37. Park J, Lee SB, Lee S, Kim Y, Song S, Kim S, Bae E, Kim J, Shong M, Kim JM *et al.*: **Mitochondrial dysfunction in *Drosophila* PINK1 mutants is complemented by parkin.** *Nature* 2006, **441**:1157-1161.
38. Vincow ES, Merrihew G, Thomas RE, Shulman NJ, Beyer RP, MacCoss MJ, Pallanck LJ: **The PINK1-Parkin pathway promotes both mitophagy and selective respiratory chain turnover in vivo.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2013, **110**:6400-6405.  
An elegant and innovative approach to monitor turnover of mitochondrial proteins using isotope labeling and quantitative mass spectrometry. These results provide the first indication that PINK1 and Parkin regulate mitochondrial component turnover, consistent with mitophagy, under physiological conditions. Intriguingly, they provide compelling evidence that turnover is non-uniform but instead showed some selectivity for membrane-spanning respiratory chain components.
39. Poole AC, Thomas RE, Andrews LA, McBride HM, Whitworth AJ, Pallanck LJ: **The PINK1/Parkin pathway regulates mitochondrial morphology.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2008, **105**:1638-1643.
40. Riparbelli MG, Callaini G: **The *Drosophila* parkin homologue is required for normal mitochondrial dynamics during spermiogenesis.** *Dev Biol* 2007, **303**:108-120.
41. Shin JH, Ko HS, Kang H, Lee Y, Lee YI, Pletinkova O, Troconso JC, Dawson VL, Dawson TM: **PARIS (ZNF746) repression of PGC-1alpha contributes to neurodegeneration in Parkinson's disease.** *Cell* 2011, **144**:689-702.
42. Stevens DA, Lee Y, Kang HC, Lee BD, Lee YI, Bower A, Jiang H, Kang SU, Andrabi SA, Dawson VL *et al.*: **Parkin loss leads to PARIS-dependent declines in mitochondrial mass and respiration.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2015, **112**:11696-11701.
43. Allen GF, Toth R, James J, Ganley IG: **Loss of iron triggers PINK1/Parkin-independent mitophagy.** *EMBO Rep* 2013, **14**:1127-1135.
44. Kageyama Y, Hoshijima M, Seo K, Bedja D, Sysa-Shah P, Andrabi SA, Chen W, Hoke A, Dawson VL, Dawson TM *et al.*: **Parkin-independent mitophagy requires Drp1 and maintains the integrity of mammalian heart and brain.** *EMBO J* 2014, **33**:2798-2813.
45. Szargel R, Shani V, Abd Elghani F, Mekies LN, Liani E, Rott R, Engelender S: **The PINK1, synphilin-1 and SIAH-1 complex constitutes a novel mitophagy pathway.** *Hum Mol Genet* 2016, **25**:3476-3490.
46. Kujoth GC, Hiona A, Pugh TD, Someya S, Panzer K, Wohlgemuth SE, Hofer T, Seo AY, Sullivan R, Jobling WA *et al.*: **Mitochondrial DNA mutations, oxidative stress, and apoptosis in mammalian aging.** *Science* 2005, **309**:481-484.
47. Trifunovic A, Wredenberg A, Falkenberg M, Spelbrink JN, Rovio AT, Bruder CE, Bohlooly YM, Gidlöf S, Oldfors A, Wibom R *et al.*: **Premature ageing in mice expressing defective mitochondrial DNA polymerase.** *Nature* 2004, **429**:417-423.
48. Pickrell AM, Huang CH, Kennedy SR, Ordureau A, Sideris DP, Hoekstra JG, Harper JW, Youle RJ: **Endogenous Parkin preserves dopaminergic substantia nigral neurons following mitochondrial DNA mutagenic stress.** *Neuron* 2015, **87**:371-381.  
An interesting study that combines the POLG proof-reading defective 'mtDNA mutator' mouse with *parkin* knockouts to investigate the mitoQC role of Parkin *in vivo* under a physiologically relevant stress. Specifically in this combination the authors observe selective degeneration of dopaminergic neurons, locomotor deficits and enhanced mitochondrial dysfunction. Surprisingly, loss of *parkin* did not affect overall levels of mtDNA mutations.
49. Schulz AM, Haynes CM: **UPR(mt)-mediated cytoprotection and organismal aging.** *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2015, **1847**:1448-1456.
50. Neuspiel M, Schauss AC, Braschi E, Zunino R, Rippstein P, Rachubinski RA, Andrade-Navarro MA, McBride HM: **Cargo-selected transport from the mitochondria to peroxisomes is mediated by vesicular carriers.** *Curr Biol* 2008, **18**:102-108.
51. Soubannier V, McBride HM: **Positioning mitochondrial plasticity within cellular signaling cascades.** *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2009, **1793**:154-170.
52. Sugiura A, McLelland GL, Fon EA, McBride HM: **A new pathway for mitochondrial quality control: mitochondrial-derived vesicles.** *EMBO J* 2014, **33**:2142-2156.
53. Soubannier V, McLelland GL, Zunino R, Braschi E, Rippstein P, Fon EA, McBride HM: **A vesicular transport pathway shuttles cargo from mitochondria to lysosomes.** *Curr Biol* 2012, **22**:135-141.
54. McLelland GL, Soubannier V, Chen CX, McBride HM, Fon EA: **Parkin and PINK1 function in a vesicular trafficking pathway regulating mitochondrial quality control.** *EMBO J* 2014, **33**:282-295.  
This study provides compelling evidence from cell culture models that PINK1 and Parkin promote the formation of mitochondria derived vesicles (MDVs), which suggests a more piecemeal mechanism of degrading mitochondrial components as an earlier step in mitoQC prior to bulk turnover of mitochondria by autophagy. It will be interesting, though challenging, to know if this mechanism operates in neurons *in vivo* under physiological stresses.
55. Wang W, Wang X, Fujioka H, Hoppel C, Whone AL, Caldwell MA, Cullen PJ, Liu J, Zhu X: **Parkinson's disease-associated mutant VPS35 causes mitochondrial dysfunction by recycling DLP1 complexes.** *Nat Med* 2016, **22**:54-63.
56. Braschi E, Goyon V, Zunino R, Mohanty A, Xu L, McBride HM: **Vps35 mediates vesicle transport between the mitochondria and peroxisomes.** *Curr Biol* 2010, **20**:1310-1315.

57. Malik BR, Godena VK, Whitworth AJ: **VPS35 pathogenic mutations confer no dominant toxicity but partial loss of function in *Drosophila* and genetically interact with parkin.** *Hum Mol Genet* 2015, **24**:6106-6117.
58. McWilliams TG, Prescott AR, Allen GF, Tamjar J, Munson MJ,
  - Thomson C, Muqit MM, Ganley IG: **mito-QC illuminates mitophagy and mitochondrial architecture in vivo.** *J Cell Biol* 2016, **214**:333-345.

59. Sun N, Yun J, Liu J, Malide D, Liu C, Rovira II, Holmstrom KM,
  - Fergusson MM, Yoo YH, Combs CA *et al.*: **Measuring in vivo mitophagy.** *Mol Cell* 2015, **60**:685-696.

These two publications described the first transgenic mouse lines engineered to express fluorescent reporter constructs to monitor mitophagy *in vivo*. These initial reports do not analyse PINK1/Parkin function but do describe a surprisingly abundant basal mitophagy that varies widely between and even within tissues.